

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 509 (1996) 135-137

The isolation and X-ray crystal structure of $[Li(Et_2O)_2(CHPh)PPh_2]$

Andreas Decken, Alan H. Cowley *

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

Received 18 April 1995; in revised form 5 June 1995

Abstract

The organolithium complex [Li(Et₂O)₂(CHPh)PPh₂] (1) has been prepared by lithiation of the corresponding phosphine with *n*-BuLi and recrystallized from Et₂O solution. The structure of 1 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. Compound 1 crystallizes as a monomer with two molecules of Et₂O coordinated to the lithium metal. Crystal data (Mo K α radiation) at -90 °C for 1: a = 10.350(1) Å, b = 11.063(1) Å, c = 12.528(1) Å, $\alpha = 79.19(1)^\circ$, $\beta = 66.84(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 77.56(1)^\circ$, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, R = 0.087 ($F > 4\sigma(F)$), wR2 = 0.1611.

Keywords: Crystal structure; Lithium; Phosphine

1. Introduction

During the last four decades the use of organolithium compounds has increased to the extent that they now play a central role in chemical synthesis [1]. In some respects, organolithium reagents have greater flexibility than the corresponding Grignard reagents since they exhibit higher reactivities and, in many instances, do not require the use of donor solvents for their preparation. The importance of organolithium reagents has led to a considerable interest in their solid state structures. Organolithium complexes of benzyl derivatives, for example, show a wide range of binding modes. Depending on the nature of the solvent and the presence or absence of base stabilization, lithyl compounds of this type have been crystallized as monomers, dimers or polymers [2]. Moreover, the character of the Li-C bonds in benzyllithium compounds ranges from partially covalent [3] to ionic [4] depending on the mode of coordination. Further structural diversity is evident from the observation that the lithium atom can adopt η^1 , η^2 or η^3 bonding modes with respect to the alkyl, ortho and ipso carbon atoms of the benzyl moiety [2b,5].

In this paper we report the synthesis, characterization and X-ray crystal structure of $[Li(Et_2O)_2(CHPh)PPh_2]$, (1).

2. Experimental details

All experiments were performed under anaerobic and anhydrous conditions using standard Schlenk techniques or a HE-493 Vacuum Atmospheres drybox. The Et₂O solvent was dried over sodium and distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl under argon prior to use. PhCH₂PPh₂ (Aldrich) and *n*-BuLi (Aldrich) were used without purification. NMR spectra were recorded on a GE QE-300 spectrometer (¹H, 300.15 MHz; ¹³C, 75.48 MHz; ³¹P, 121.5 MHz). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are referenced to C₆D₆ which was dried over sodium– potassium alloy and distilled prior to use; ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are reported relative to Si(CH₃)₄ (0.00 ppm). ³¹P NMR spectra are referenced to 85% H₃PO₃.

2.1. Synthesis of $[Li(Et_2O)_2 (CHPh)PPh_2]$ (1)

n-BuLi (9.1 ml, 2.0 M in hexane solution, 18.2 mmol) was added to PhCH₂PPh₂ (5 g, 18.2 mmol) in Et₂O solution (75 ml) at 25 °C. The reaction mixture assumed an orange color immediately. After being stirred for 4 h at 25 °C, the reaction mixture was stored at -20 °C. Well-defined single crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction studies formed over a period of several days. ¹H NMR δ : 7.65 (t, 7.0 Hz, 5H), 7.09 (m, 10H), 3.04 (q, 6.9 Hz, 8H), 2.96 (s, 1H), 0.82 (t, 6.9 Hz, 12H). ¹³C NMR δ : 152.3 (q), 143.3 (q); 132.7; 132.5; 129.3; 128.2; 127.2; 120.7; 120.5; 114.4; 65.5; 36.9; 14.7. ³¹P NMR δ : -16.6.

^{*} Corresponding author.

2.2. X-ray structure determination of 1

The data collection for the structure determination was performed on a Nicolet P3 diffractometer at -90°C using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$. Accurate unit cell parameters for 1 were obtained by recentering 41 high angle reflections. Crystal data and the details of data collection and structure refinement are summarized in Table 1. Atomic coordinates and selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. Throughout the data collection the intensities of four standard reflections were measured every 100 reflections as a check of crystal stability. Significant decay was not observed (decay < 2.5%). A total of 6998 reflections were measured and 5864 reflections were used in the solution and refinement. The data set was corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects as well as for absorption. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares on F^2 with Siemens SHELXL software [6].

3. Results and discussion

The reaction of *n*-BuLi with the benzyl-substituted phosphine $PhCH_2PPh_2$ in Et_2O solution at ambient temperature yielded the new lithium complex $[Li(Et_2O)_2(CHPh)PPh_2](1)$ (Eq. (1)).



Upon cooling the reaction mixture to -20 °C, yellow crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray crystal structure determination were obtained. Compound 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with two molecules per unit cell and there are no unduly short interactions between individual molecules (Fig. 1). The lithium atom is bonded in an η^2 fashion to the alkyl carbon atom and the *ipso* ring carbon of the benzyl moiety. The Li-C(7)and Li–C(1) bond lengths of 2.170(8) Å and 2.493(8) Å respectively fall within the range which has been found for compounds that feature this type of bonding [2a,3]. There is no interaction between the lithium atom and the ortho ring carbons since these distances range from 3.125 Å to 3.175 Å for Li-C(2) and Li-C(6) respectively. The coordination sphere of the lithium metal is completed by two ether molecules and the bond distances for Li–O(1) (1.922(8) Å) and Li–O(2) (1.894(7))

Table 1 Crystal data, data collection, and refinement of the structure of 1

	1
Formula	C ₂₇ H ₃₆ LiO ₂ P
Formula weight	430.47
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	Pī
Crystal size (mm)	$0.34 \times 0.34 \times 0.15$
a (Å)	10.350 (1)
b (Å)	11.063 (2)
c (Å)	12.528 (2)
α (°)	79.19(1)
β (°)	66.84 (1)
γ (°)	77.56 (1)
V (Å ³)	1279.4 (3)
Ζ	2
d (calc.) (g cm ⁻³)	1.117
μ (cm ⁻¹)	1.27
Scan range (°)	4.34-55
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 2, -14 \le k \le 13, -16 \le l \le 15$
Refl. coll.	6998
Indep. refl.	5883
Param. refined	334
R1/wR2, %	8.70/16.11

Table 2

Atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients ($\mathring{A}^2 \times 10^3$) for 1 (with ESDs in parentheses)

				· · · ·
Atom	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Р	1728 (1)	477 (1)	2792 (1)	54(1)
Li	3802 (7)	- 2069 (6)	3034 (6)	65 (2)
C (1)	1633 (4)	- 1989 (3)	2596 (3)	47 (1)
C (2)	527 (4)	- 2151 (4)	3692 (4)	57(1)
C (3)	- 77 (5)	- 3221 (4)	4058 (4)	69(1)
C (4)	340 (5)	- 4170 (4)	3384 (4)	67(1)
C (5)	1396 (5)	- 4051 (4)	2309 (4)	62 (1)
C (6)	2018 (4)	- 2985 (4)	1917 (4)	53(1)
C (7)	2404 (4)	- 943 (3)	2186 (4)	52(1)
C (8)	898 (4)	1534(3)	1825 (3)	48 (1)
C (9)	484 (5)	1075 (4)	1087 (4)	64(1)
C (10)	-221 (5)	1845 (5)	406 (5)	78 (2)
C(11)	- 539 (5)	3088 (4)	491 (5)	72(1)
C (12)	- 176 (5)	3571 (4)	1228 (4)	68(1)
C (13)	545 (4)	2812 (4)	1895 (4)	57(1)
C (14)	3332 (4)	1196 (3)	2386 (3)	51 (1)
C (15)	3232 (6)	2208 (6)	2925 (6)	111 (2)
C (16)	4408 (7)	2777 (6)	2683 (7)	137 (3)
C (17)	5695 (6)	2334 (5)	1903 (6)	88 (2)
C (18)	5809 (5)	1354 (5)	1361 (4)	67(1)
C (19)	4647 (5)	799 (4)	1604 (4)	58(1)
0(1)	3348 (3)	- 2407 (3)	4693 (3)	76(1)
C (20)	2803 (6)	- 3523 (4)	5347 (4)	95 (2)
C (21)	3305 (5)	- 4502 (4)	4542 (4)	78(1)
C (22)	2511 (8)	- 1298 (8)	5604 (7)	170 (4)
C (23)	3508 (9)	- 737 (10)	5162 (7)	217 (5)
O (2)	5679 (3)	- 2736 (3)	2113 (3)	77 (1)
C (24)	6910 (6)	- 2943 (6)	2411 (6)	107 (2)
C (25)	7217 (8)	- 1919 (7)	2654 (7)	181 (4)
C (26)	6040 (6)	- 2829 (5)	893 (5)	106 (2)
C (27)	6147 (6)	- 4105 (5)	677 (6)	130 (2)

Table 3 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1

Selected bolid lengths (A) and angles () for t						
1.758 (4)	Li–O (2)	1.894 (7)				
1.922 (8)	Li–C (7)	2.170 (8)				
2.493 (8)	C (1)–C (2)	1.409 (5)				
114.7 (4)	O (2)–Li–C (7)	119.6 (4)				
125.6 (4)	O (2)–Li–C (1)	125.5 (4)				
109.6 (3)	C (7)–Li–C (1)	35.3 (2)				
122.3 (3)	C (7)–C (7)–Li	84.6 (3)				
108.5 (3)						
	1.758 (4) 1.758 (4) 1.922 (8) 2.493 (8) 114.7 (4) 125.6 (4) 109.6 (3) 122.3 (3) 108.5 (3)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				

Å) fall within the range usually observed for solvent stabilized lithium complexes [3]. The fact that 1 is monomeric in the solid state is presumably a consequence of the steric crowding by the phenyl groups on the phosphine fragment. The similar, but less sterically encumbered phosphido compounds [Li(TMEDA)- $(CH_2)PPh_2$ and $[Li(TMEDA)(CH_2)PPhMe]$ [2b] $(TMEDA = Me_2NCH_2CH_2NMe_2)$ adopt dimeric solid state structures. The non-phosphorus-containing benzyllithium compounds such as [Li(Et₂O)₂(CH₂Ph)], $[Li(THF)(TMEDA)(CH_2Ph)]$, and $[Li(N_2C_6H_{12})-$ (CH₂Ph)] [2a] are polymeric in the solid state. The isolation of monomeric benzyllithium compounds has so far been achieved by coordination of bulky bases to the lithium atom as in the case of [Li(sparteine) (CH₂)PPh₂] [2b] or by increasing the steric demand at the α carbon as in [Li(Et₂O)₂CPh3] [3]. In the case of



Fig. 1. View of and atom labeling for $[Li(Et_2O)_2(CHPh)PPh_2]$ (1). Thermal ellipsoids are at the 30% probability level and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

1, the monomeric nature of the compound is due to the presence of phenyl substituents on both the α -carbon atom and the phosphorus atom. Finally, the cause of the η^2 -binding mode for the lithium stems from the replacement of a strong Lewis base by a solvent molecule. Thus, all TMEDA complexes feature η^1 attachment of lithium to the alkyl carbon atom while the Et₂O complexes are η^2 coordinated.

The elimination of TMEDA as a ligating base is very important for further use of the novel organolithium compound 1, since one can envision salt elimination reactions with main group halides that are not complicated by the concomitant formation of LiX \cdot TMEDA. The reactivity of the interesting bifunctional reagent 1 toward group 13 halides and organohalides is currently under investigation.

Acknowledgments

Gratitude is expressed to the National Science Foundation and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for generous financial support.

References

- (a) G.E. Coates and K. Wade, Organometallic Compounds, Methuen, London, 1967. (b) B.J. Wakefield, The Chemistry of Organolithiun Compounds, Pergamon Press, New York, 1974.
 (c) T.L. Brown, Pure Appl. Chem., 23 (1970) 447. (d) J.P. Oliver, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 15 (1977) 235. (e) J.L. Wardell, Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, New York, 1982, Chapter 2. Organolithium Methods, Academic Press, London, 1988.
- [2] (a) W. Zarges, M. Marsch, K. Harms and G. Boche, Chem. Ber., 122 (1989) 2303. (b) L.T. Byrne, L.M. Engelhardt, G.E. Jacobsen, W.-P. Leung, R.I. Papasergio, C.L. Raston, B.W. Skelton, P. Twiss and A.H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1989) 105.
- [3] R.A. Bartlett, H.V.R. Dias and P.P. Power, J. Organomet. Chem., 341 (1988) 1.
- [4] M.M. Olmstead and P.P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 2174.
- [5] (a) R. Amstutz, J.D. Dunitz and D. Seebach, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 20 (1981) 465. (b) L.M. Engelhardt, W.-P. Leung, C.L. Raston, P. Twiss and A.H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1984) 321. (c) H. Bock, K. Ruppert, Z. Havlas, W. Bensch, W. Hönle and H.G. v. Schnering, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 30 (1991) 1183.
- [6] G.M. Sheldrick, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Inc., 1993.